

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/739,618 12/18/2000 John H. Howard 5181-59100 3682 **EXAMINER** 7590 06/13/2005 LAWERENCE J. MERKEL DUONG, THOMAS CONLEY, ROSE, & TAYON, P.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN,, TX 78767-0398 2145

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/739,618	HOWARD, JOHN H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The Mail INO DATE of this communication on	Thomas Duong	2145
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2005.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/14/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/739,618

Art Unit: 2145

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.
- 2. Amendment received February 14, 2005 has been entered into record. *Claims 1-43* remain pending.

#### Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to the applicants Amendment filed on February 14,
 2005. Applicant amended *claims 1, 8, 11, 21, and 28. Claims 1-43* are presented for further consideration and examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2145

- Claims 1, 8, 11, 21, 28, 36-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Senator et al. (US005761677).
- 6. With regard to *claims 1, 8, 11, 21, 28, 36 and 40*, Senator discloses,
  - a non-volatile memory storing a first inode locating a first file in said storage; and
     (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 –col.5, line 47)
     Senator teaches of "a single index node (inode) 301 having the inode number 39
     is depicted; [and] an entry 313 in the file directory for this file system contains the
     inode number 39 pointing to inode 301 thereby associating the name "NAME1"
     with the inode 301" (Senator, col.4, lines 53-57).
  - a block manager configured to copy said first inode to a second inode, wherein said block manager is configured to change said second inode in response to updates to said first file, and wherein said block manager is configured to atomically update said first file in response to a commit of said first file by writing said second inode to said non-volatile memory, whereby said second inode locates said first file in said storage. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 col.5, line 47)

Senator teaches of "a module, [that in response] to a system call argument to allocate another node in the file system tables and to copy the data block allocations from the old node into the newly allocated node. Both nodes now contain the same data block allocation information" (Senator, col.2, lines 15-19) referring to the same file. According to Senator, "changes to the actual data are now made with respect to the new node" (Senator, col.2, lines 21-22); and that the newly allocated node corresponds to the updated file "after a COMMIT file

Art Unit: 2145

operation or an FSYNC system call" (Senator, col.5, lines 6-7). Hence, "upon a read of [the file], it appears to the application program that the data in logical block has changed" (Senator, col.5, lines 36-38); since "the version module resets the inode pointer in the file directory entry 313 breaking the pointer to inode 301 numbered 39 and then sets the value in entry 313 to 40 pointing to inode 311 numbered 40" (Senator, col.5, lines 20-23).

- 7. With regard to *claims 37-39 and 41-43*, Senator discloses,
  - wherein the first file in the non-volatile memory is a first version of the first file, and wherein the block manager is configured to create a second version of the first file in response to a first write command of the plurality of write commands, and wherein the block manager is configured to atomically replace the first version with the second version in response to the commit command. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 – col.5, line 47)
  - wherein the first version is associated with a first inode, and wherein the second version is created by copying the first inode to a second inode and modifying the second inode, and wherein the atomic update is performed by writing the second inode. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 – col.5, line 47)
  - wherein the storage is an object-based storage and wherein the plurality of write commands and the commit command address a file object corresponding to the first file. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 – col.5, line 47)

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/739,618

Art Unit: 2145

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- <u>Claims 2-10, 12, 18-20, 22-27, 29, and 35</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senator et al. (US005761677) and in view of Zheng et al. (US006571259B1).
- 10. With regard to *claims 2, 12, 22 and 29*, Senator discloses,

See claims 1, 11, 21 and 28 rejections as detailed above.

However, Senator does not explicitly disclose,

 wherein said non-volatile memory stores a journal comprising a list of committed inodes, and wherein said block manager is configured to record said second inode in said journal.

Zheng teaches,

• wherein said non-volatile memory stores a journal comprising a list of committed inodes, and wherein said block manager is configured to record said second inode in said journal. (Zheng, col.1, line 66 – col.2, line 8; col.6, lines 1-54)
Zheng teaches of the use of a "list [that] is managed by inserting on the list a pointer to each cache block when the contents of the cache block are committed to the on-disk file system, which occurs in response to a commit request from the client" (Zheng, col.6, lines 28-31). Furthermore, according to Zheng, "the file

Art Unit: 2145

system cache manager 34 also accesses an in-memory file system index 37, [which] includes indexing information for file system objects that have been added, deleted, or otherwise modified from the committed, on-disk state" (Zheng, col.6, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Zheng with the teachings of Senator to provide an alternate method of "[recovering] from a system failure by restoring the database to its consistent state existing just after commitment of the last completed transaction ... [by maintaining] a log file of the database changes and the commit commands ... [including] a sufficient amount of information (such as 'before' and 'after' images) in order to undo the changes made to the database since the last commit command" (Zheng, col.1, line 67 – col.2, line 8). According to Senator, it is known in the art to recover from a system crash due to a power failure for example by "[keeping] a file of records as they existed before [the changes] by the transaction, ... [or] to log each change in a journal or log file before the actual file system records are changed" (Senator, col.2, lines 25-31).

- 11. With regard to *claims 3-5, 18-20, 23-25, and 35*, Senator and Zheng disclose,
  - wherein said commit of said first file comprises a commit command received from an external source which updates said first file. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 – col.5, line 47)
  - wherein said commit command comprises a file close command. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 – col.5, line 47)

Art Unit: 2145

 wherein said commit command comprises an fsync command. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 – col.5, line 47)

- 12. With regard to claims 6-10 and 26-27, Senator and Zheng disclose,
  - wherein said journal further includes a checkpoint record including a description of an inode file, a block allocation bitmap, and an inode allocation bitmap
     (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 col.5, line 47; Zheng, col.3, line 3 col.4, line 14; col.14, line 46 col.14, line 14)
  - wherein the description comprises inodes for each of said inode tile, said block allocation bitmap, and said inode allocation bitmap. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 col.5, line 47; Zheng, col.3, line 3 col.4, line 14; col.14, line 46 col.14, line 14)
  - wherein said commit command comprises a file close command. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 col.5, line 47)
  - wherein said commit command comprises an fsync command. (Senator, col.2, lines 13-46; col.4, line 45 – col.5, line 47)
- 13. <u>Claims 13-17 and 30-34</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senator et al. (US005761677), in view of Zheng et al. (US006571259B1), and further in view of Raz (US005701480).
- 14. With regard to <u>claims 13-17 and 30-34</u>, Senator and Zheng disclose, See <u>claims 11-12 and 28-29</u> rejections as detailed above.

However, Senator and Zheng do not explicitly disclose,

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/739,618

Art Unit: 2145

- further comprising writing a master inode corresponding to an inode file including said second inode to a checkpoint record in said journal.
- wherein recovering from a system failure comprises:
  - scanning said journal to locate a most recent checkpoint record and zero or more inodes subsequent to said most recent checkpoint record within said journal;
  - copying said master inode from said most recent checkpoint record to a volatile memory; and
  - updating an inode file corresponding to said master inode with said one or more inodes subsequent to said most recent checkpoint record.
- wherein said updating said inode file comprises:
  - copying one or more blocks of said inode file storing said one or more inodes
     to a copied one or more blocks; and
  - updating said master inode in said volatile memory to point to said copied one or more blocks.

#### Raz teaches,

 further comprising writing a master inode corresponding to an inode file including said second inode to a checkpoint record in said journal. (Raz, col.62, line 3 – col.63, line 44)

Raz teaches of "an alternative 'redo' recovery mechanism, [where the] updated records are not flushed to state memory after every transaction. Instead, updated records are written sequentially to an after-image log, and all of the updated records are flushed to state memory only when certain 'check-points' occur. The check-points occur, for example, after a predetermined number of

Art Unit: 2145

bytes have been written to the after-image log since the last checkpoint" (Raz, col.62, lines 18-26). Hence, "when a system crash occurs, the volatile state memory existing at the end of the last committed transaction is reconstructed by reading from the non-volatile memory the state memory records existing at the time of the last checkpoint, and redoing the modifications recorded in the after-image log. The after-image log, for example, is read sequentially while redoing the modifications" (Raz, col.62, lines 28-34).

- wherein recovering from a system failure comprises:
  - scanning said journal to locate a most recent checkpoint record and zero or more inodes subsequent to said most recent checkpoint record within said journal; (Raz, col.62, line 3 – col.63, line 44)
  - copying said master inode from said most recent checkpoint record to a volatile memory; and (Raz, col.62, line 3 – col.63, line 44)
  - updating an inode file corresponding to said master inode with said one or more inodes subsequent to said most recent checkpoint record. (Raz, col.62, line 3 – col.63, line 44)
- wherein said updating said inode file comprises:
  - copying one or more blocks of said inode file storing said one or more inodes
     to a copied one or more blocks; and (Raz, col.62, line 3 col.63, line 44)
  - updating said master inode in said volatile memory to point to said copied one or more blocks. (Raz, col.62, line 3 – col.63, line 44)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Raz with the teachings of Senator and Zheng to provide "an alternative 'redo' recovery mechanism" (Raz,

col.62, line 18) in the event where a system crash occurs. According to Raz, "an additional advantage is that the conventional state memory and snapshot memory caching facility can be used for maintaining the state memory cache and snapshot memory cache, and a conventional after image journaling facility can be used for maintaining the after-image log" (Raz, col.62, lines 50-54).

## Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to *claims 1-43* have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Duong whose telephone number is 571/272-3911. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 4:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on 571/272-6159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9306 for regular communications and 703/872-9306 for After Final communications.

Thomas Duong (AU2145)

June 10, 2005

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER